

CLARK HILL PLLC  
PAOLA M. ARMENI  
Nevada Bar No. 8357  
Email: [parmeni@clarkhill.com](mailto:parmeni@clarkhill.com)  
GIA N. MARINA  
Nevada Bar No. 15276  
Email: [gmarina@clarkhill.com](mailto:gmarina@clarkhill.com)  
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
Tel: (702) 862-8300  
Fax: (702) 778-9709  
Attorneys for Defendant, Anthony Horovitz

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

**ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE DATE TO RESPOND TO GOVERNMENT'S  
MOTION FOR INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ORDER [48]**

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between Anthony Horovitz, Defendant, by and through his counsel, Paola M. Armeni, Esq., and Gia N. Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill, PLLC, and the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Jason Frierson, United States Attorney, and Summer A. Johnson, Assistant United States Attorney, to extend the time for the Defendant to respond to Government's Motion for Installment Payment Order [DKT 48], filed on July 7, 2022.

**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED** that the deadline for filing any response be extended up to and including August 4, 2022.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The Response deadline date is July 21, 2022.

1        2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.

2        3. Defense Counsel must be permitted to evaluate all information in order to prepare a  
3 response to the government's motion.

4        4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment  
5 Payment Order will be filed on August 4, 2022.

6        5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the denial of  
7 this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

8        6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the  
9 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

10       7. This is a first request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment  
11 Payment Order.

12       JASON FRIERSON  
13       UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
14       DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CLARK HILL PLLC

15       DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2022.

16       /s/ Summer A. Johnson  
17       SUMMER A. JOHNSON  
18       Assistant United States Attorney  
19       Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
20       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

/s/ Gia N. Marina  
PAOLA M. ARMENI  
GIA N. MARINA  
Attorney for Defendant,  
ANTHONY HOROVITZ

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

4 Plaintiff,

5 vs.

6 ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

7 Defendant.  
8

9 **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER**

10 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the  
11 Court hereby finds that:

12 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

13 Based on the fact that counsel has agreed to a continuance, the Court hereby concludes that:

- 14 1. The Response deadline date is July 21, 2022.
- 15 2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022.
- 16 3. Defense Counsel must be permitted to evaluate all information in order to prepare a  
17 response to the government's motion.
- 18 4. For these reasons, the parties agree that the response to the Motion for Installment Payment  
19 Order will be filed on August 4, 2022.
- 20 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes or delay and the denial of  
21 the denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 22 6. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the  
23 continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.
- 24 7. This is a first request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment  
25 Payment Order.
- 26 8. The ends of justice are served by granting said continuances and outweigh the best  
27 interest of the public and since the failure to grant said continuances would be likely to result in  
28 a miscarriage of justice, as it would deny the parties herein sufficient time, and the opportunity,

1 within which to effectively and thoroughly prepare and file responses, and replies, and taking  
2 into account the exercise of due diligence.

3 **ORDER**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing of responsive pleading, is hereby  
5 due on or before the 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing a reply is hereby due on or before  
7 the 11th day of August, 2022.

8 **DATED** this 20th day of July 2022.

9   
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**  
11 **CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH**  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28